

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/663,728	09/17/2003	Junichi Nakaho	740165-362	2220
22204	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128		AMARI, ALESSANDRO V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	10/663,728	NAKAHO, JUNICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alessandro V. Amari	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
Period for Reply	DIVIO OET TO EVOIDE A	AONTHAN EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for repl	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status			•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicat	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
						7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National	Stage				
application from the International Bur							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.					
Attachment(e)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/17/2003</u>. 	(08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,728

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rauh et al US 4,889,414.

In regard to claim 1, Rauh et al discloses (see Figure) a reflecting mirror comprising a substrate (14) through which light passes; an electrode film (12) which is electrically conductive, which is substantially transparent, and which is formed at a rear surface side of the substrate, a reduction coloring film (16) formed at a surface of the electrode film at a side opposite a side where the substrate is disposed, the reduction coloring film coloring due to a reversible chemical reaction with hydrogen ions as described in column 3, lines 22-43; and an electrically conductive reflecting film (18) which is formed at a surface of the reduction coloring film at a side opposite a side where the electrode film is disposed, and which reflects light at least at a substrate side surface, and which contains a hydrogen storing metal which stores hydrogen in an adsorbed state, and which, due to application of voltage, releases hydrogen and moves the hydrogen as hydrogen ions toward the reduction coloring film, and which, due to one of canceling of the application of said voltage and applying of a voltage which is reverse of said voltage, attracts the hydrogen ions that have moved toward the

Application/Control Number: 10/663,728

Art Unit: 2872

reduction coloring film and adsorbs and stores the hydrogen ions as hydrogen as described in column 5, lines 42-58, column 6, lines 25-39 and column 7, lines 1-42.

Regarding claim 2, Rauh et al further discloses an ion conducting film (20), which contains a dielectric and through which hydrogen ions can pass, is provided between the electrically conductive reflecting film and the reduction coloring film as described in column 6, lines 5-22.

Regarding claim 3, Rauh et al discloses that the hydrogen storing metal comprises at least one selected from the group consisting of palladium (Pd), rhodium (Rh), platinum (Pt), and alloys having functions equivalent to those of these hydrogen storing metals as described in column 5, lines 59-68 and column 6, lines 1-4.

Regarding claim 4, Rauh et al discloses that the electrode film comprises ITO as described in column 3, lines 6-19.

Regarding claim 5, Rauh et al discloses that the reduction coloring film comprises an oxide of tungsten as described in column 3, lines 44-53.

Regarding claim 6, Rauh et al discloses that the reduction coloring film is colored due to a reversible chemical reaction with the hydrogen ions as described in column 3, lines 31-53. Although the prior art does not specifically disclose that the reduction coloring film is colored to a bluish color, this is seen as an inherent teaching of the device since the materials cited in the prior art (WO₃ and hydrogen ions) would have a chemical reaction such that the reduction film would be colored to a bluish color.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,728 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauh et al US 4,889,414.

Regarding claim 7, Rauh et al discloses the claimed invention but does not teach that the dielectric comprises at least one selected from the group consisting of tantalum oxide, silicon oxide and magnesium fluoride. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select one of the materials claimed above for the dielectric, since it has been held to be within the ordinary skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use. One would have been motivated to select one selected from the group consisting of tantalum oxide, silicon oxide and magnesium fluoride for the purpose of providing a dielectric that has superior insulating properties to prevent device from short circuiting. *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945)

5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauh et al US 4,889,414 in view of Ohno et al US 5,469,296.

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Rauh et al teaches the invention as set forth above but does not further teach in regard to claim 8, a control means, wherein the electrically

conductive reflecting film and the electrode film are connected to a power source via the

Page 5

control means or regarding claim 9, a light sensor.

Regarding claim 8, Ohno et al teaches (see Figure 1) a control means (10, 11, 12), wherein the electrically conductive reflecting film and the electrode film are connected to a power source via the control means as described in column 5, lines 10-42.

Regarding claim 9, Ohno et al teaches (see Figure 1) a light sensor (8, 9).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the control means as taught by Ohno et al in the device of Rauh et al in order to provide for more precise control of the reflectivity of the mirror.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rauh et al US 4,889,414 in view of Official Notice.

Regarding claim 10, Rauh et al teaches the invention as set forth above but does not teach a rearview mirror comprising the reflecting mirror. Official Notice is taken that it is notoriously old and well known in the mirror art to utilize electrochromic mirrors in automobiles as rearview mirrors. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the electrochromic mirror of Rauh et al in a rearview mirror in order to provide for a light modulating mirror which reduces glare.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,728

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

Page 6

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Macher et al US 6,234,636, Shabrang et al US 5,124,080 and Baucke et al US 4,465,339 teach electrochromic layer mirrors.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alessandro V. Amari whose telephone number is (571) 272-2306. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ava (I*li*) 24 November 2004

MARK A. ROBINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER